

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/038,984 | 01/04/2002 | Yin-Xiong Li | 275.0003 0102 | 9705 |
| 26813 7 | 590 11/03/2005 | EXAMINER | | |
| • | RAASCH & GEBHA | VIVLEMORE, TRACY ANN | | |
| | P.O. BOX 581415 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55458 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | , | | 1635 | |

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/038,984 | LI ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | <u> </u> | Tracy Vivlemore | 1635 | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTED IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Designs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing appearance of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) 又 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 C | October 2005 | | | | |
| 2a)□ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| ٠,۵ | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-7,15-19,21,22,27-32,39,48,62,63,7 | 72-76 and 78-80 is/are pending in | the application. | | | |
| , | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>1-7,15-19,27-32,48,62,63,72-74 and 78-80</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | _ | | | | | |
| 7) 🖂 | | | | | | |
| 8) 🗌 | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | |
| 9) 🗀 | The specification is objected to by the Examina | er. | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| a) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list | ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stage | | | |
| 2) Notice 3) Information | et(s) Se of References Cited (PTO-892) Se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Se of Draftsperson's Patenton (s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Se No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

The finality of the last office action and the indicated allowability of claims 75 and 76 is withdrawn in view of the following new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 75 and 76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fire et al. (US 6,506,559, of record).

Claims 75 and 76 are directed to a method for attenuating expression of a target gene in a vertebrate cell ex vivo comprising explanting a cell from a vertebrate organism and supplying the cell with a double stranded RNA. Explanting a cell from an organism means the method of claim 75 is performed on a cell *in vitro*.

Fire et al. disclose a method of inhibiting gene expression in a cell *in vitro* by supplying a cell with a dsRNA. The disclosure and claims of Fire et al. are drawn to animal cells, including vertebrates (see column 8, lines 35-51). Fire et al. disclose the limitation on hybridization conditions at column 7, line 67-column 8 line 4 and the

dsRNAs used in the exemplified embodiments were purified without phenol and chloroform.

Thus, Fire et al. disclose all the limitations of and anticipate claims 75 and 76.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 22 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. These claims depend from claims 20 and 23, respectively, which have been cancelled. Therefore, the metes and bounds of these claims cannot be determined.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-7, 15-19, 27-32, 48, 62, 63, 72-74 and 78-80 are allowed.

Claim 21 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 1. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tracy Vivlemore whose telephone number is 571-272-2914. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:45-5:15.

Art Unit: 1635

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang can be reached on 571-272-0811. The central FAX Number is 571-273-8300.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-

786-9199.

ANDREW WANG Tracy Vivlemore SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER aminer

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600Art Unit 1635

TV

October 25, 2005